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## The Problem

$\square$ Object: Brazillan Portuguese (henceforth BP) mid V/s.
$\square$ Challenge: unifying the account of multi-layered vowel shifts along the opening dimension.
$\square$ Facts: mid V opening/c/osing processes.
$\square$ Processes:
$\square$ Unstressed mid V closing harmony;
$\square$ Unstressed mid V closing (raising);
$\square$ Stressed mid V opening (lowering).

## $\square$ Layers:

Phonetic details,
$\square$ Variable (sociolinguistic) categorical allophony,

- Morphophonologjical processes;

Probabilistic phonotactic bjases.

## Abins

$\square$ To give an overview of unpublished work in Portuguese on the first layer (phonetic detail) of BP mid V opening/closing phenomena;
$\square$ To take a closer look at its other 3 layers, relying on lexical frequency data;
$\square$ To focus on ongoing research on the $4^{\text {th }}$ layer: probabilistic phonotactic biases;
$\square$ To describe the facts as accurately as possible;
$\square$ To point to dynamic models as a promise of explanation;
$\square$ To attiract interest of this community in the phenomena described.

## Beckground

$\square$ The BP Vowel System:
$\square$ Stress dependant inventory:
$\square 7$ V's under stress: i, e, E, a, O, o, u.
$\square 3 / 5 / 7 \mathrm{~V}$ 's ( $i, a, u / e, a, 0$ ) in unstressed position, depending on side of stress and dialect.
Stress assignment:
$\square$ Finat;
$\square$ Penultimate;
$\square$ Antepenultimate.
$\square$ Its origin:
$\square$ Latin vowels reorganized after quantity loss;
$\square$ Quality distinctions due to quantity partly preserved in mid V's: e, o, E, O;
$\square$ E, O most common under stress;
Changes involving:
aopening (mostly in stressed position);
$\square$ Closing (mostly in unstiressed position). 5 V's ( $i, e, a, 0, u)$ under nasaltzation.

## Layer 12 Phonetic Detail

$\square$ CV co-articulation: (Oliveira 2000):

- No F1 effects;
agreement with Ifterature.
Roces 2006, 2010:
$\square$ No Fl effects;
-No F2 effiects in midol $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{s}$.
$\square$ V-to-V co-articulation (Roces 2006, 2010):
MIId V's in pre-stressed position: F1 effects agreeing with stressed V ;
Mid V's in stressed position: F1 effects disagreeing with prestiressed V .
Research agenda: Why
such olisharmony?


## Layer 2: Gategorical Allophony

$\square$ Unstressed MFid V closing:
$\square$ Pre-stressed mid V harmony, e.g., m[T]/nino, b[u]/nito (Bisol 1981);
$\square$ Pre-stressed Mid V "raising", e.g. p[J]queno, f[uT]gão, c[u]meço (idem);
$\square$ Stressed mid V opening: $\square$ Acronyms and foreign words, e.g., CEP ['sE.pl],
 Inovetive pronunciation of low frequency words, e.g., c[[e]pa>c[][]pa,


Note the inverse correlations spanning over different ranges. Research Agenda: Why lemma frequency matters?


## Layer 3: Noun Morphophonology

$\square$ Gender/number suppletive stem V alternations: umlaut or ablaut?
$\square$ Masculline sing. originated in umlaut, e.g., s[o]gro; $\square$ Feminine sing./pl. etymologjically open, e.g., s[O]gra/s; $\square$ Masculline pl. "immune" to umlaut, e. g., s[O]gros.
$\square$ Synchronic ablaut supported by occasional plural "analoglies", e.g. b/O]/sos, a/m[O]ços, pesc[O]ços;
$\square$ Feminine less innovative than plural, except as below.
In regressive noun formation from first conjugation verbs, unhlaut is productive, though sporadic:

Masculine close: ap[e]go, enr[e]/alo, tr[ $[0]$ co, suf $[0]$ ]o;

$\square$ Umlaut or metaphony" does not seem to be just a phonetic "fossil", but a lexical process. Can olynamics explain?

## Layer 3: Adjective Morphophonology

$\square$ Same suppletive ablaut pattern as in nouns, e.g., n[O]Vo, n[O]Vos, n[O]lva/s;
$\square$ One highly productive suffix: 'oso', e.g., gost[[o]so, gost[O]/sos, gost[O]/sa/s ;
$\square$ Non-etymological V : oso<ōsum, with long V ;
$\square$ Umlaut traditionally attributed to feminine;
$\square$ Obscure "analogical" origin of masculine plural;
$\square$ Otherwise similar to nouns.
$\square$ But, unlike regressive nouns, first conjugation reduced particijples are not subject to umlaut.

Either a close V is required in both masculine and feminine, e.g., qu[e]do/a, p[e]go/a;
Or an open $V$ is required in both masculine and feminine, e.g., pl可 $\mathrm{go} / \mathrm{a}$, depending on dialect.
$\square$ Ablaut or "apophony" also seems to be a lexical process, perhaps in "dynamic" competition with umlaut.

## Layer 3: Verb Morphophonology

$\square$ Two kinds of ablaut affect mid ${ }^{1 /}$ 's in verb inflection:
$\square$ A closing trend originated in umlaut and spread by "analogy":
$\square$ In the unproductive conjugations in 'fr'/'er', e.g., s[D]nto<sentio, m[o]vo<moveo;
$\square$ An opening trend which acts as a default (the "elsewhere case"):
$\square$ In the productive conjugation in 'ar', e.g., I[弓]vo/a;;
$\square$ Or in forms of the other conjugations not originated in umlaut, e.g., d/[G]ve, m[O]rre.
$\square$ Abstract analyses treat most of these cases as underlying Harmony" ( $=$ larris 1974, Mateus 1975), i.e., a truncated theme V leaving an opening/closing trace in the stem.
$\square$ Is there any way to sort out and track down these trenols?
$\square$ Phonotactics gives a hint... Preference of open stiressed syllables for open mid V's.

## Assessing Phonotactic Blases

$\square$ Data from public databases:
$\square$ Lael (oral, ~45,000 words), available at: http://www2.lael. pucsp.br
$\square$ Ceten (written, ~60,000 words), available at: http://www. linguateca.pt/
$\square$ Coding:
$\square$ Acronyms and foreign words filtered out,
Automatic orthography to phone conversion (Albano \& Moreira 1996).
$\square$ Sample size selection:
$\square$ Comparison among different-sized random samples;
$\square$ Caveat: small samples are unstable.
$\square$ Statistics:
Association: chij square (Pearson's and Likelihood Ratio);
Association Strength: Philand Cramer's V;
$\square$ Cell significance: Sokell \& Rohli's (1995) test.
$\square$ Factor contribution:
Log Linear Modeling.

## Layer 4t Probabilistic Phonotactics

$\square$ Segment frequency fact:
$\square$ Open mid V's are low frequency (even under stress).
$\square$ co-occurrence frequency facts:
$\square$ Pre-stressed mid V's are weakly biased to co-occur with high and mid stressed V's (harmony);
$\square$ Antepenultimate and penultimate stiressed mid V/s are biased to be open:

Stressed Mid V Frequency in Lael Types


Ceten Types: Prestressed x Stressed V's
 Cramer's $V=27$.

## A Glimpse into Diachrony: Latinate \& Non-Latinate Words



Stressed mid V's are massively open in non-latinate vocabulary! (Source: online Houaiss dictionary)
Phi (= Cramer's V, for $2 \times 2$ tables) is very high (=.63).
Thus, the relationship between stress and opening must have been active in mid V's for centuries.
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## A Prestressed Mifd V Harmony

## Blas?

$\square$ In both corpora, prestressed V's:
If high or low, prefer disharmonic openings, i.e., H-L or LM \& L-H;

If mid, prefer harmonic, i.e., the same or lesser openings, M-M or M-H (as in above).
$\square$ These bjases are significant, overall and cell by cell.
$\square$ However, they are extremely weak: Cramer's Vะ.10!
$\square$ Thus:
Harmony is weak lexically;
So, much room is left for it in allophony and allomorphy.
$\square$ Can olynamics explain such a tayer spsassd 20interaction?

## Open Stressed V Bjast Stress Position or Syllable rype?

$\square$ Is there such a rule as "dactylic lowering" (Wetzels 1992)?
$\square$ Maybe: in LAEL, the association between mid $V$ opening and stress position is moderate in word types:
Cramer's V = .22;
$\square$ However, it is nearly negligible in word tokens: $V=.10$;
$\square$ On the other hand, in the same corpus, the association between mid V opening and syllable type is much stronger in word types: $V=.39$;
$\square$ And gets strengthened in word tokens: $V=.48$.

Lael Types: V Opening \& Syllable Type


Lael Tokens: V Opening \& Syllable Type


NB: Overall proportions remain even if nasals are discounted.

## Mid V Opening, Stress Position and Syllable Type in Oral Mid V's

$\square$ As just seen, opening is associated to both stress position and syllable type.
$\square$ Recall that the contrast is neutralized by nasalization.
$\square$ For Lael oral mid V's, a log linear model fitted to an opening $x$ stress position x syllable type contingency table yields significance for all 3 factors and their interactions.
Note the strength of the 3 interactions.

| Best Log Linear Model: Lael Types |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Degrs.of | Prt.Ass. | p Prt.Ass. |
| Stress | 2 | 12922.89 | 0.00 |
| Stress-SylType | 2 | 1393.59 | 0.00 |
| Opening-Stress | 2 | 1148.86 | 0.00 |
| Opening-Syltype | 1 | 1128.16 | 0.00 |
| Opening | 1 | 146.56 | 0.00 |
| Syl Type | 1 | 112.21 | 0.00 |


| Best Log Linear Model: Lael Tokens |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Degrs.of | Prt.Ass. | Prt.Ass. |
| Stress | 2 | 316599.1 | 0.00 |
| Opening-Syltype | 1 | 214359.0 | 0.00 |
| Stress-SylType | 2 | 85319.0 | 0.00 |
| Opening-Stress | 2 | 70479.4 | 0.00 |
| Syl Type | 1 | 24287.7 | 0.00 |
| Opening | 1 | 7386.9 | 0.00 |

## The Default Opening for Mid

 Vowels$\square$ Under penultimate or final stress, Mid V's tend to:
$\square$ Open in open syllables;
$\square$ Close in closed syllables.
$\square$ The majority of stressed syllables is open.
$\square$ Open is thus the default value for stressed mid V's.


$\square$ Research agenda: Why prefer open in open syllables?

## Conclusions

-All 4 layers of BP opening/closing phenomena seem to have a life of their own;
-Yet, they are similar in:
$\square$ Popping up at dififerent scales;
$\square$ Evolving by bursts and spurts;
$\square$ Weakly constraining one another.
This looks like the behavior of dynamical systems;
$\square$ So please, dynamics experts, help find order in this chaos!
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